

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/802,887	03/18/2004	Chiaki Tanaka	249475US0	5200	
22850 7:	590 05/11/2006		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			CHAPMAN, MARK A		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1756		
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/802,887	TANAKA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mark A. Chapman	1756					
Daried 6	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence add	iress				
Period fo	. •	V 10 05T TO EVDIDE	A MONITURO) OR THIRTY (00	\\ D.4\\O				
WHI0 - Exte after - If N0 - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma will apply and will expire SIX (6) c, cause the application to become	JNICATION. ay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this content of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	larch 2006.						
	•	action is non-final.						
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abe	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	en received in this National S	Stage				
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list		not received					
·	The second secon	2 23 dd ddpidd						
Attachmer	• •	_						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>03222006;03182004</u> .	_	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,887 Page 2

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 1, 2, and 7 as well as pages 3 and 4 of the specification require a "metal alcolate and/ a metal chelate" while claims 5 and 6 as well as page 7 of the specification require a "metal alcolate and/or metal chelate". This is a clear contradiction. Applicant is requested to clarify preferably while showing clear support for the intended invention.
- 3. Claims 10-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 10-19 are drawn to a toner cartridge, process cartridge, and an image forming apparatus with no structure or description.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section

Application/Control Number: 10/802,887 Page 3

Art Unit: 1756

351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over each of Ito (2006/0078815), Ong (5,409,794), or Urawa (4,518,672). Each of Ito (paragraph 93), Ong (col. 8 lines 17-18), and Urawa (example 4) teach toner compositions and related applications where polyester and a metal chelate is used in electrophotographic image formation. It is inherent that the acid value of the polyester would be in the desired range. In the alternative, it would have been obvious as evidenced by the prior art of record submitted on 3-18-04 that polyesters with the desired acid values are well known for their use in toner applications and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to use such polyesters in the toners taught by each of Ito, Ong, and Urawa.

Specification

7. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: "chelate" is misspelled on page 7, line 12.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1756

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Chapman whose telephone number is 571-272-1381. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark A Chanman

Mark A. Chapman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1756

MC